



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,877	10/19/1999	ROBERT WESLEY BOSSEMEYER JR.	AIT-0127-PA	3390

7590 09/30/2003

ARTZ & ARTZ  
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD SUITE 250  
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034

EXAMINER

TRUONG, THANHNGA B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2172	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

21

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/420,877	BOSSEMEYER ET AL.
	Examiner Thanhnga Truong	Art Unit 2172

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 1999.

2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 2, and 5-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murphy (US 6,226,744 B1).

a. Referring to claim 1:

i. Murphy teaches:

(1) a communications network in operative communication with said smart card terminal [i.e., **Figure 1, a smart card 10 is inserted into a smart card reader 12, which is inserted into a 3.5" floppy disk drive of a client terminal, wherein the terminal having a network connection or modem connection to WWW 16 ( column 4, line 33-40)]**; and

(2) a central data base server in operative communication with said communication network [i.e., **client terminal 14 is in communication with a secure gateway server 18, a secure server 22, and an administrative server 24 via WWW 16 (column 4, line 44-46)]**, said central database server including:

(a) a plurality of partitioned memory locations [i.e., **Figure 2, main memory 24 may be any type of machine readable storage device, such as RAM, ROM, PROM, and EEPROM (column 5, line 8-13)]**, wherein

(b) at least one of said memory locations contains information associated with said smart card [i.e., **secure gateway server 18 includes**

**a main memory module, performing read and write information to smart card, (column 6, line 18-19)],**

(c) said information being accessible by said smart card terminal via data pointers contained within said smart card [i.e., **information from the card is accessed using the program and a PIN, and is compared with server information (column 4, line 23-25)]**.

b. Referring to claim 2 which depends on claim 1:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) a central time/data authority in operative communication with said communications network [i.e., **Figure 2, an authentication module resides within the secure gateway server which is in communication with network via WWW 16 (column 4, line 44-46 and line 60)]**,

(a) said central time/data authority providing a time verification associated with said information transmitted between said central database server and said smart card terminal [i.e., **authentication information was stored in database 26 by the same CA (Certified Authority) that issued smart card 10 to user (column 6, line 34-37). Any type of user data can be used and still fall within the scope of the invention (column 14, line 65-66)]**.

c. Referring to claim 5 which depends on claim 1:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) communications network includes the Internet [i.e., **such networks are the Internet (column 1, line 60-61)]**.

d. Referring to claim 6 which depends on claim 1:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) central database server comprises a network smart card server and a plurality of interconnected database servers [i.e., **Figure 1, servers 18, 20, 22, and 24 (column 4, line 47-48)]**.

e. Referring to claims 7 and 8:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) at least one of said plurality of partitioned memory locations includes a restricted data portion containing information accessible to a first predetermined group of network users [i.e., whenever a user desires to access restricted information stored at various servers protected by secure gateway server 18, the user only has to be authenticated once, then accesses a server having restricted information (column 6, line 58-63)], and

(2) a public data portion containing information accessible to a second predetermined group of network users [i.e., a user was not limited to the information stored on their own computer, but could gain access to information stored on hundreds, even thousands, of individual computers linked together by a single network (column 1, line 57-60)].

f. Referring to claim 9 which depends on claim 7:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) each of said plurality of partitioned memory locations supports a different smart card application [i.e., such as tickets, certificates, public/private key, and so forth (column 7, line 28-29)].

g. Referring to claim 10:

i. Murphy teaches:

(1) providing at least one smart card terminal for connection with a smart card [i.e., Figure 1, a smart card 10 is inserted into a smart card reader 12, which is inserted into a 3.5" floppy disk drive of a client terminal (column 4, line 33-37)];

(2) selecting a desired application for said smart card transaction [i.e., the specific data being stored and retrieved from the smart card in this example of a smart card interface module is in the form a user's social security number (SSN) (column 7, line 22-25)];

(3) transmitting through a communications network at least an authorization code associated with said smart card to a network smart card server [i.e., information from the card is accessed using the program and a PIN or an access code, and is compared with server information (column 4, line 23-25)],

(4) said network smart card server including a plurality of partitioned memory locations [i.e., **Figure 2, main memory 24 may be any type of machine readable storage device, such as RAM, ROM, PROM, and EEPROM (column 5, line 8-13)**],

(5) said authorization code providing a data pointer pointing to information contained in at least one of said plurality of partitioned memory location [i.e., **authentication information was stored in database 26 by the same CA (Certified Authority), such as tokens, digital signatures, certificates, etc., that issued smart card 10 to user ( column 5, line 54-57 and column 6, line 34-37)**]; and

(6) transmitting said information through said communications network to said smart card terminal [i.e., **authentication module 32 uses the smart card interface module and the PIN to access and read/write user information from/to smart card 10 via WWW 16 (column 6, line 29-32)**].

h. Referring to claim 11 which depends on claim 10:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) modifying said information at said smart card terminal, re-transmitting said modified information to said network smart card server, and storing said modified information in said at least one of said plurality of partitioned memory locations [i.e., **Figure 1, a situation may arise where a user may want to access/change user information on smart card, the administrative module allows a user to verify and change a PIN. Any user modifications made at administrative server 24 are replicated to user's authentication profile stored in database 26 (column 7, line 5-10)**].

i. Referring to claim 12 which depends on claim 10:

i. This claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 2, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 2 above.

j. Referring to claim 13 which depends on claim 10:

i. This claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 11, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 11 above.

k. Referring to claim 14:

i. Murphy teaches:

(1) a first plurality of partitioned memory locations [i.e., **read only memory (ROM) (column 5, line 9-10)**];

(2) a second plurality of partitioned memory locations [i.e., **random access memory (RAM) (column 5, line 8)**]; and

(3) a microprocessor programmed to received an authorization code representing a data pointer for pointing to information contained within a memory location within said first or second plurality of partitioned memory locations [i.e., **Figure 2, the overall functioning of secure gateway server is controlled by a central processing unit (CPU) 26, which operates under the control of executed computer program instructions that are stored in main memory (column 4, line 66-67 and column 5, line 1-2). Bus adapter 30 is used for transferring data back and forth between CPU/memory bus and I/O bus (column 5, line 37-38)**].

i. Referring to claim 15 which depends on claim 14:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) first plurality of partitioned memory locations represents public data associated with said smart card transaction [i.e., **a user was not limited to the information stored on their own computer, but could gain access to information stored on hundreds, even thousands, of individual computers linked together by a single network (column 1, line 57-60)**].

m. Referring to claim 16 which depends on claim 15:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) second plurality of partitioned memory locations represents restricted data associated with said smart card transaction [i.e., **whenever a user desires to access restricted information stored at various servers protected by secure gateway server 18, the user only has to be authenticated once, then accesses a server having restricted information (column 6, line 58-63)**].

n. Referring to claim 17 which depends on claim 14:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) first and second plurality of partitioned memory locations contain information corresponding to a smart card application [i.e., **secure gateway server 18 includes a main memory module (such as RAM, ROM, PROM, EPROM, EEPROM), performing read and write information to smart card, (column 6, line 18-19)**],

o. Referring to claim 18 which depends on claim 14:

i. Murphy further teaches:

(1) one of said first plurality of partitioned memory locations is located on a separate database server accessible through a communication network [i.e., **Figure 1, Secure gateway server 18 is in communication with WWW 16, whereas database 26 could be stored on server 18 as well (column 4, line 54)**].

p. Referring to claim 19 which depends on claim 18:

i. This claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 5, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 5 above.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy, and further in view of Barlow (US 6, 038, 551).

k. Referring to claims 3 and 4 which depend on claim 1:

i. Murphy teaches the claimed subject matter except for:

(1) communications network is part of a public-switched telephone network.

(2) communications network communicates with smart card terminal via the plain old telephone system (POTS).

ii. However, Barlow teaches:

(1) Figure 1, the network 22 can also be implemented as a telephone network, or an interactive television network, or any other form for linking the computer 12 to an external source of information (**column 7, line 24-28**).

iii. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to:

(1) include such telephone network or any other form of network connection (such as Figure 1, WWW 16 of Murphy) providing the electronic transactions a wide range of choices over the network connection as in Barlow (**column 7, line 18-28**).

vi. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to:

(1) add additional telephone network or any other form of network connection (such as Figure 1, WWW 16 of Murphy) because it is a common practice in the art to include a wide range of choices over the network communications with secure electronic transactions in multiple different environment (**column 4, line 60-62 of Barlow**).

b. Referring to claim 20 which depends on claim 18:

i. This claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 3, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 3 above.

### **Conclusion**

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Carper et al (US 6, 480, 935 B1) discloses a system and method for memory management in a smart card in which a single device by which memory in the smart card is allocated and deallocated (see abstract).

b. Husemann et al (US 6, 192, 349 B1) discloses a smart card is used to store an electronic tickets provided from the service provider's computer system to the customer's computer over an insecure communications line (see abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhnga (Tanya) Truong whose telephone number is 703-305-0327.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

TBT  
August 26, 2003



KIM VU  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100